REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register:	07 February 2002
Name of applicant/court:	Hamilton Police
Applicant to the Labelling Body:	Not Applicable
Title of Publication:	Exhibit 36 4 of 9\D\teenie sluts\chic pics\4(1).jpg
Other Known Titles:	Not Stated
Director:	Not Applicable
Producer:	Not Applicable
Publisher:	Not Applicable
Author:	Not Applicable
Format:	Computer Printout
Country of Origin:	Not Stated
Language:	English

• •

Components of film originally examined: Not Applicable.

Feature: Running time:

Trailers: Running time:

Total Running time:

Excision/Alteration: Not Applicable.

Reason(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

… Please turn over

Classification Decision:

Objectionable.

Display Conditions:

Not Applicable.

Descriptive Note:

Not Applicable.

● ●

Direction to issue a label has been given on: Not Applicable.

● ●

SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:

The computer printout entitled *Exhibit 36 4 of 9\D\teenie sluts\chic pics\4(1).jpg* is classified as:
Objectionable.

This classification is because the publication promotes and supports the use of urine in association with sexual conduct.

The computer printout consists of text and a colour photographic image. The image depicts one woman urinating into another's mouth. Urination is presented in a sexualised manner, with a close focus on the naked genitals of the woman who is urinating, and on the act of urination itself.

This classification places a restriction on the freedom of expression outlined in the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 (NZBR Act). The result of the publication falling within the criteria of s3(2) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993 (FVPC Act) is prescribed by law, in accord with Parliament's intention that publications to which s3(2) criteria apply should be banned so as to prevent injury to the public good.

OFLC Ref: 101882